

# North Carolina Child Protective Services Data, 2001-02

County Description			Reports, Investigations and Substantiations									Substantiated by Race/Ethnic Group						Case Outcome			Child Abuse Homicides	Social Worker III Avg. Salaries <sup>5</sup>
County	Child Population <sup>1</sup>	DSS Level	Reports			Investigations			White		African-American		Hispanic		DSS Custody		petitions filed					
			Reported number	Screened Out number	percent	Investigated number	rate <sup>2</sup>	Substantiated number	rate <sup>2</sup>	percent	%	% Pop <sup>3</sup>	%	% Pop <sup>3</sup>	%	% Pop <sup>3</sup>		number	rate <sup>2</sup>			
<b>N.C.</b>	<b>2,036,497</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>136,516</b>	<b>29,298</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>107,218</b>	<b>52.6</b>	<b>32,883</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>30.7%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>9,775</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5,533</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$32,546</b>	
Alamance	32,931	II	2,077	528	25.4%	1549	47.0	435	13.2	28.1%	56%	69%	30%	22%	15%	10%	98	3.0	60	0	\$33,809	
Alexander	8,465	I	513	173	33.7%	340	40.2	106	12.5	31.2%	93%	89%	5%	5%	7%	4%	28	3.3	16	0	\$31,672	
Alleghany	2,088	I	141	59	41.8%	82	39.3	25	12.0	30.5%	100%	95%	0%	1%	4%	7%	1	0.5	4	0	\$30,789	
Anson	6,265	I	286	29	10.1%	257	41.0	13	2.1	5.1%	23%	40%	77%	57%	0%	1%	14	2.2	7	0	\$25,312	
Ashe	4,802	I	398	89	22.4%	309	64.3	87	18.1	28.2%	97%	96%	0%	1%	10%	3%	14	2.9	11	0	\$31,284	
Avery	3,390	I	268	47	17.5%	221	65.2	71	20.9	32.1%	100%	97%	1%	1%	6%	3%	14	4.1	19	0	\$28,047	
Beaufort	10,328	I	1,100	345	31.4%	755	73.1	261	25.3	34.6%	56%	60%	43%	37%	11%	5%	41	4.0	24	0	\$31,745	
Bertie	4,849	I	207	77	37.2%	130	26.8	39	8.0	30.0%	23%	27%	77%	71%	3%	1%	9	1.9	1	0	\$33,023	
Bladen	7,933	I	618	56 <sup>4</sup>	9.1%	562	70.8	211	26.6	37.5%	57%	48%	38%	45%	5%	5%	26	3.3	22	0	\$28,086	
<b>Brunswick</b>	<b>16,271</b>	<b>II</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$36,037</b>	
Buncombe	46,177	III	4,364	1230 <sup>4</sup>	28.2%	3,134	67.9	1,027	22.2	32.8%	80%	85%	15%	10%	6%	4%	299	6.5	201	0	\$34,528	
Burke	21,646	II	2,532	547	21.6%	1,985	91.7	566	26.1	28.5%	87%	80%	9%	7%	3%	5%	120	5.5	67	0	\$32,531	
Cabarrus	36,353	II	2,387	620	26.0%	1,767	48.6	320	8.8	18.1%	71%	79%	26%	15%	11%	7%	125	3.4	80	0	\$39,734	
Caldwell	18,320	II	1,721	267	15.5%	1,454	79.4	522	28.5	35.9%	91%	89%	8%	7%	5%	3%	133	7.3	64	1	\$32,462	
Camden	1,673	I	37	9	24.3%	28	16.7	5	3.0	17.9%	100%	81%	0%	17%	0%	1%	3	1.8	3	0	\$32,190	
Carteret	11,752	II	1,062	200 <sup>4</sup>	18.8%	862	73.3	298	25.4	34.6%	75%	86%	22%	10%	2%	3%	69	5.9	58	0	\$37,026	
Caswell	5,438	I	408	122	29.9%	286	52.6	66	12.1	23.1%	59%	59%	36%	37%	9%	3%	28	5.1	14	0	\$29,775	
Catawba	36,125	III	3,146	651	20.7%	2,495	69.1	772	21.4	30.9%	74%	78%	17%	11%	5%	7%	164	4.5	131	0	\$37,430	
Chatham	11,689	I	879	105	11.9%	774	66.2	220	18.8	28.4%	60%	70%	35%	19%	35%	14%	95	8.1	71	0	N/A	
Cherokee	4,961	I	571	146	25.6%	425	85.7	133	26.8	31.3%	99%	93%	1%	2%	1%	2%	46	9.3	43	0	\$30,382	
Chowan	3,401	I	90	41	45.6%	49	14.4	15	4.4	30.6%	27%	50%	60%	48%	0%	2%	8	2.4	7	0	\$33,276	
Clay	1,575	I	100	36	36.0%	64	40.6	12	7.6	18.8%	92%	97%	0%	1%	8%	1%	11	7.0	5	0	\$27,604	
Cleveland	24,580	II	2,004	557	27.8%	1,447	58.9	626	25.5	43.3%	85%	70%	11%	27%	24%	2%	186	7.6	92	0	\$35,544	
Columbus	13,698	II	895	290	32.4%	605	44.2	199	14.5	32.9%	51%	54%	45%	38%	3%	4%	68	5.0	7	0	\$28,574	

County		Year	2023 Performance Metrics														2022 Performance Metrics			2021 Performance Metrics						
County	Population	Year	Population	Unemployment	Median Income	Median Home Value	Median Rent	Median Property Tax	Median Commute	Median Crime Rate	Median Education Level	Median Health Insurance Rate	Median Life Expectancy	Median Literacy Rate	Median Employment Rate	Median Unemployment Rate	Median Health Insurance Rate	Median Life Expectancy	Median Literacy Rate	Median Employment Rate	Median Unemployment Rate	Median Health Insurance Rate	Median Life Expectancy	Median Literacy Rate	Median Employment Rate	Median Unemployment Rate
Craven	22,488	II	2,052	346	16.9%	1,706	75.9	580	25.8	34.0%	56%	62%	38%	31%	7%	5%	39	1.7	23	0	\$35,038					
Cumberland	84,947	III	6,434	1,511	23.5%	4,923	58.0	1,512	17.8	30.7%	51%	48%	44%	40%	11%	9%	611	7.2	355	5	\$33,667					
Currituck	4,700	I	422	102	24.2%	320	68.1	111	23.6	34.7%	88%	89%	10%	8%	4%	2%	22	4.7	19	0	\$35,593					
Dare	6,569	I	309	26	8.4%	283	43.1	128	19.5	45.2%	94%	92%	2%	3%	8%	3%	41	6.2	24	0	\$34,733					
Davidson	36,237	II	2,992	606	20.3%	2,386	65.8	761	21.0	31.9%	83%	83%	14%	11%	6%	5%	164	4.5	115	0	\$34,782					
Davie	8,890	I	317	151	47.6%	166	18.7	75	8.4	45.2%	81%	88%	17%	7%	1%	5%	27	3.0	21	0	\$37,316					
Duplin	13,264	II	918	36	3.9%	882	66.5	336	25.3	38.1%	44%	51%	46%	32%	27%	20%	20	1.5	25	0	\$31,045					
Durham	54,601	III	3,738	911	24.4%	2,827	51.8	987	18.1	34.9%	20%	40%	79%	50%	8%	8%	189	3.5	48	0	\$39,462					
Edgecombe	14,377	II	1,393	192	13.8%	1,201	83.5	432	30.1	36.0%	35%	31%	64%	66%	4%	4%	109	7.6	74	2	\$32,149					
Forsyth	76,933	III	2,530	816 <sup>6</sup>	32.3%	1,714	22.3	480	6.2	28.0%	41%	60%	55%	32%	9%	9%	301	3.9	114	2	\$37,417					
Franklin	12,646	II	868	240	27.6%	628	49.7	264	20.9	42.0%	65%	61%	31%	34%	14%	6%	51	4.0	41	1	\$30,815					
Gaston	47,131	III	4,292	1,192	27.8%	3,100	65.8	838	17.8	27.0%	73%	77%	23%	18%	5%	4%	343	7.3	102	0	\$33,173					
Gates	2,680	I	108	21 <sup>4</sup>	19.4%	87	32.5	12	4.5	13.8%	58%	56%	42%	41%	0%	1%	2	0.8	0	0	\$31,864					
Graham	1,742	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	61	35.0	17	9.8	27.9%	100%	87%	0%	0%	0%	1%	20	11.5	18	0	N/A					
Granville	11,913	I	627	184	29.3%	443	37.2	132	11.1	29.8%	48%	59%	47%	36%	7%	5%	35	2.9	30	0	\$30,144					
Greene	4,881	I	365	86	23.6%	279	57.2	65	13.3	23.3%	46%	44%	46%	46%	9%	12%	6	1.2	9	0	\$28,193					
Guilford	104,725	III	5,857	1,376	23.5%	4,481	42.8	1,404	13.4	31.3%	36%	57%	59%	35%	3%	5%	579	5.5	183	0	\$41,725					
Halifax	14,299	II	1,012	132	13.0%	880	61.5	234	16.4	26.6%	29%	33%	67%	61%	1%	1%	86	6.0	54	0	\$26,430					
Harnett	26,058	II	1,125	152	13.5%	973	37.3	373	14.3	38.3%	65%	64%	30%	27%	11%	8%	191	7.3	120	1	\$29,851					
Haywood	11,163	II	1,546	385	24.9%	1,161	10.4	391	35.0	33.7%	98%	95%	2%	1%	2%	3%	90	8.1	54	0	\$31,230					
Henderson	19,229	II	2,101	340	16.2%	1,761	91.6	352	18.3	20.0%	90%	88%	6%	4%	12%	9%	54	2.8	61	0	\$31,473					
Hertford	5,245	I	220	35	15.9%	185	35.3	55	10.5	29.7%	29%	28%	71%	69%	15%	2%	36	6.9	5	0	\$27,689					
Hoke	10,914	I	272	92	33.8%	180	16.5	39	3.6	21.7%	33%	39%	46%	40%	8%	8%	53	4.9	17	0	\$29,572					
Hyde	1,099	I	45	4	8.9%	41	37.3	13	11.8	31.7%	62%	59%	23%	38%	15%	3%	5	4.6	2	0	\$22,381					
Iredell	33,376	II	2,296	320	13.9%	1,976	59.2	739	22.1	37.4%	71%	77%	24%	17%	6%	5%	124	3.7	107	0	\$37,296					
Jackson	6,445	I	613	180	29.4%	433	67.2	90	14.0	20.8%	61%	77%	4%	1%	18%	3%	23	3.6	17	0	\$31,405					
Johnston	34,908	II	2,222	415	18.7%	1,807	51.8	535	15.3	29.6%	56%	73%	40%	19%	20%	10%	75	2.1	82	0	\$39,196					
Jones	2,496	I	190	44	23.2%	146	58.5	32	12.8	21.9%	63%	55%	38%	40%	19%	4%	10	0.4	10	0	\$29,940					
Lee	12,788	I	822	92 <sup>4</sup>	11.2%	730	57.1	142	11.1	19.5%	68%	63%	23%	24%	21%	16%	35	2.7	20	0	\$34,475					
Lenoir	14,580	I	1,214	275	22.7%	939	64.4	369	25.3	39.3%	40%	48%	59%	47%	5%	5%	55	3.8	69	0	\$30,826					
Lincoln	16,378	I	1,103	215	19.5%	888	54.2	295	18.0	33.2%	86%	87%	12%	8%	3%	8%	45	2.7	11	0	N/A					

Macon	6,065	I	455	64 <sup>4</sup>	14.1%	391	64.5	52	8.6	13.3%	100%	95%	0%	2%	4%	2%	10	1.6	16	0	\$29,900
Madison	4,244	I	492	39 <sup>4</sup>	7.9%	453	106.7	115	27.1	25.4%	97%	98%	3%	1%	0%	2%	40	9.4	17	0	\$28,150
Martin	6,245	I	295	36	12.2%	259	41.5	83	13.3	32.1%	31%	43%	68%	54%	7%	3%	17	2.7	15	0	\$30,439
McDowell	9,871	I	1,137	147	12.9%	990	100.3	293	29.7	29.6%	93%	90%	2%	4%	4%	4%	104	10.5	50	0	\$30,619
Mecklenburg	189,378	III	9,117	1,927	21.1%	7,190	38.0	2,363	12.5	32.9%	24%	56%	67%	34%	9%	7%	1,099	5.8	378	1	\$39,331
Mitchell	3,229	I	450	100	22.2%	350	108.4	108	33.4	30.9%	100%	97%	0%	1%	11%	3%	20	6.2	17	0	\$29,428
Montgomery	6,704	I	548	63 <sup>4</sup>	11.5%	485	72.3	142	21.2	29.3%	66%	60%	30%	25%	23%	18%	12	1.8	7	0	\$28,444
Moore	16,722	II	1,191	135	11.3%	1,056	63.2	370	22.1	35.0%	59%	72%	38%	21%	5%	7%	65	3.9	45	0	\$32,744
Nash	22,363	II	1,671	343	20.5%	1,328	59.4	344	15.4	25.9%	38%	54%	58%	40%	12%	5%	37	1.7	43	0	\$35,852
New Hanover	35,140	III	3,902	763	19.6%	3,139	89.3	1,142	32.5	36.4%	55%	71%	43%	25%	7%	3%	572	16.3	255	0	\$45,648
Northampton	5,091	I	353	82	23.2%	271	53.2	35	6.9	12.9%	26%	30%	69%	68%	0%	1%	25	4.9	0	0	\$28,496
Onslow	39,761	II	3,754	849 <sup>4</sup>	22.6%	2,905	73.1	1,283	32.3	44.2%	59%	66%	37%	22%	9%	8%	103	2.6	197	5	\$30,570
Orange	25,248	II	1,536	115	7.5%	1,421	56.3	414	16.4	29.1%	53%	73%	43%	16%	11%	6%	103	4.1	76	0	\$45,099
Pamlico	2,544	I	174	61	35.1%	113	44.4	27	10.6	23.9%	67%	68%	30%	29%	4%	2%	12	4.7	6	0	\$29,586
Pasquotank	8,504	I	881	282	3.2%	599	70.4	140	16.5	23.4%	45%	51%	52%	45%	4%	2%	35	4.1	26	0	\$32,020
Pender	9,747	I	789	107	13.6%	682	70.0	231	23.7	33.9%	74%	68%	26%	27%	6%	5%	85	8.7	40	0	\$30,338
Perquimans	2,492	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	77	30.9	32	12.8	41.6%	56%	64%	44%	34%	0%	1%	10	4.0	2	0	\$28,232
Person	8,620	I	543	77	14.2%	466	54.1	91	10.6	19.5%	62%	62%	34%	33%	0%	3%	35	4.1	21	0	\$31,583
Pitt	32,474	II	2,005	289	14.4%	1,716	52.8	510	15.7	29.7%	34%	51%	60%	44%	9%	4%	139	4.3	60	1	\$37,778
Polk	3,802	I	194	70	36.1%	124	32.6	46	12.1	37.1%	85%	89%	2%	8%	2%	6%	24	6.3	17	0	\$29,778
Randolph	33,593	II	2,267	496	21.9%	1,771	52.7	352	10.5	19.9%	92%	86%	8%	7%	8%	10%	123	3.7	64	0	\$34,500
Richmond	11,892	I	1,202	217	18.1%	985	82.8	167	14.0	17.0%	54%	56%	46%	38%	0%	4%	28	2.4	32	0	\$29,897
Robeson	36,053	III	3,749	575	15.3%	3,174	88.0	639	17.7	20.1%	20%	24%	23%	29%	4%	5%	185	5.1	202	1	\$29,979
Rockingham	21,294	II	1,352	138 <sup>4</sup>	10.2%	1,214	57.0	309	14.5	25.5%	78%	73%	20%	23%	8%	5%	31	1.5	18	0	\$37,874
Rowan	33,119	II	2,769	883	31.9%	1,886	56.9	408	12.3	21.6%	74%	75%	26%	19%	2%	6%	149	4.5	67	0	\$34,618
Rutherford	15,001	II	1,723	280	16.3%	1,443	96.2	675	45.0	46.8%	80%	82%	19%	15%	1%	3%	148	9.9	106	1	\$30,478
Sampson	16,124	II	389	25	6.4%	364	22.6	152	9.4	41.8%	63%	53%	28%	33%	9%	14%	48	3.0	33	0	\$30,497
Scotland	9,830	I	774	76	9.8%	698	7.1	120	12.2	17.2%	33%	42%	57%	44%	0%	2%	40	4.1	33	0	\$34,363
Stanly	14,611	I	1,254	395	31.5%	859	58.8	232	15.9	27.0%	74%	79%	16%	14%	5%	3%	28	1.9	19	0	\$31,599
Stokes	11,044	I	764	232	30.4%	532	48.2	155	14.0	29.1%	95%	93%	5%	5%	7%	3%	31	2.8	34	0	\$30,032
Surry	17,005	II	1,368	415	30.3%	953	56.0	296	17.4	31.1%	89%	86%	5%	5%	16%	11%	35	2.1	31	0	\$30,860
Swain	3,171	I	N/A	N/A	N/A	195	61.5	31	9.8	15.9%	42%	53%	0%	2%	0%	2%	7	2.2	4	0	\$33,924

Transylvania	5,831	I	314	27 <sup>4</sup>	8.6%	287	49.2	59	10.1	20.6%	80%	90%	9%	6%	10%	2%	44	7.5	26	0	\$35,000
Tyrrell	892	I	18	5	27.8%	13	14.6	4	4.5	30.8%	100%	51%	0%	43%	0%	5%	1	1.1	2	0	N/A
Union	38,398	II	2,028	501	24.7%	1,527	39.8	453	11.8	29.7%	61%	79%	30%	15%	11%	8%	51	1.3	31	0	\$36,237
Vance	11,901	II	1,217	164	13.5%	1,053	88.5	326	27.4	31.0%	42%	38%	58%	57%	9%	6%	94	7.9	32	0	\$31,236
Wake	174,663	III	4,792	1,022	21.3%	3,770	21.6	1,039	5.9	27.6%	39%	68%	56%	23%	11%	6%	551	3.2	217	0	N/A
Warren	4,591	I	289	52	18.0%	237	51.6	42	9.1	17.7%	19%	29%	74%	63%	7%	2%	24	5.2	14	0	\$35,506
Washington	3,321	I	171	21	12.3%	150	45.2	70	21.1	46.7%	27%	36%	73%	60%	1%	2%	19	5.7	21	0	\$28,869
Watauga	7,024	I	426	164	38.5%	262	37.3	70	10.0	26.7%	97%	96%	1%	1%	7%	2%	32	4.6	21	0	\$35,458
Wayne	29,707	II	2,281	485	21.3%	1,796	60.5	510	17.2	28.4%	47%	54%	50%	38%	8%	7%	65	2.2	122	0	\$33,360
Wilkes	15,013	II	1,024	288	28.1%	736	49.0	328	21.8	44.6%	89%	91%	11%	5%	8%	5%	144	9.6	93	0	\$28,682
Wilson	18,945	II	1,475	411	27.9%	1,064	56.2	378	20.0	35.5%	34%	46%	61%	48%	10%	8%	82	4.3	40	0	\$30,220
Yadkin	8,996	I	542	104	19.2%	438	48.7	114	12.7	26.0%	91%	90%	1%	4%	27%	11%	78	8.7	34	1	\$34,338
Yancey	3,822	I	371	72	19.4%	299	78.2	115	30.1	38.5%	98%	97%	0%	1%	16%	5%	59	15.4	40	0	\$30,612

## Notes on the availability of variables not on this card

### Notes: Data Are For State Fiscal Year 2001-02 Unless Otherwise Noted

- <sup>1</sup> 2002 population projections NC State Data Center
- <sup>2</sup> Rates based on under 20 events should be used with caution
- <sup>3</sup> Data from April 2000 US Census
- <sup>4</sup> Calendar year 2001
- <sup>5</sup> 2002-03 — Not available for prior years
- <sup>6</sup> Based on 11 months of data available

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the NC Division of Social Services, the county Departments of Social Services, the Guardian ad Litem Program, and many child advocates and their agencies for their help in gathering these data and for their reviews of the material.

#### These additional variables may be found at [www.ncchild.org](http://www.ncchild.org)

- Salaries of county DSS Directors
- Annual turnover rates for Children's Services' Social Workers
- Full Time Equivalent Children's Services Social Worker positions
- Median Family Income
- Percent of white and African American children in poverty
- Percent of children living in single parent households
- Cases substantiated by type of maltreatment (abuse, neglect, dependency) and by subcategory of maltreatment, such as physical, sexual abuse, or lack of medical/remedial care, etc.
- Substantiations by age of child
- Parental rights terminated and rate/1,000 children in the county
- Children actually adopted
- Children entering DSS custody for the first time in 2001-02

#### These additional variables may be found at the [Division of Social Services website](#)

- **Biennial review reports** (Copies of individual county Child and Family Services Review Reports can be obtained by request from the specific county or from the Division of Social Services (919-733-9461). An End of Biennium report for the 2001-2003 biennium can be found at <http://www.dhhs.state.nc.us/dss/dcdl/section/fscw.htm>
- Link to the DSS website with statistical reports: <http://www.dhhs.state.nc.us/dss/childrenservices/stats/index.htm>

#### Additional variables available by special request to the state Division of Social Services

- Average caseload of CPS workers
- (Note: These data are collected on an annual basis by surveys of counties DSS agencies and the results of these surveys can be obtained through a special request to the Division of

Social Services. These surveys also provide information about turnover rates.)

- Number of children reentering the system in the same year
- Number of children released for adoption
- Number of children returned to families
- Amount spent per investigation by county

#### Variables of interest but not available in any data systems

- Number of cases reported to law enforcement by DSS
- Number of neglect deaths
- Children with physical injuries in investigations or substantiations
- Abuse homicides of children with and without a CPS history